

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,621	03/24/2004	Pat Y. Mah	MAH-39	7470	
7590 04/19/2006			EXAMINER		
Curt Harrington			PHAN, THANH S		
Suite 250 6300 State University Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Long Beach, CA 90815			2841	2841	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/C			
Office Action Comments		10/809,621	MAH, PAT Y.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
		Thanh S. Phan	2841				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
- '-	•	 action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the mer	rite ie			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		113 13			
Disposit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are pending in the app	lication					
.,,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawithd		•				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_						
7)	_						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminer				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	121/d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			- <b>-</b> .			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	\-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(u) or (i).				
۵,,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			0			
	application from the International Bureau		o in this Hational Stay	C			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	ed.·				
		20,000	· <del>-</del> -				
Am - to							
Attachmen 1) ☐ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 6 objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant needs to clarify the dependency of claim 6 since claim 4 is canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun et al. [US 6,185,159].

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Sun et al. disclose a clock system [fig. 2] comprising: a receiver circuit [21] for receiving a binary code time signal, comprising:

a microprocessor clock circuit [23] connected to said receiver circuit and programmed to energized said receiver circuit for a minimum time period necessary to receive said binary coded time signal, and to shut said receiver circuit off after said minimum time period [column 1, lines 34-59]; and a clock display [27] connected to said microprocessor clock unit for displaying time, wherein the microprocessor clock unit includes programming for a separate first time [time of day] and a separate second time [alarm time] to enable a user to energize said receiver circuit for a minimum time period necessary to receive said binary coded time signal in said first time storage and without

disrupting said second time storage, as illustrated in figure 2, the receiver circuit are turn on when the time correction is requested which indicated that a sufficient time is provided to receive the binary coded time signal during the calibration process.

Regarding claim 6, Sun et al. discloses the time of day is corrected when time signal is received and the time correction is performed [disrupted]. Therefore; if no time signal is received, the time of day is not disrupted.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art, AAPA hereinafter.

Regarding claim 2, Sun et al. discloses wherein the receiver circuit is on during the completion of receiving and/or time correction is performed except for specifying that the period of time is one minute time.

AAPA discloses that the information required for time correctness is within a time period of one minute [Background of The Invention; page 3, line 10 +].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the duration of Sun et al. to be one minute for the necessary information to be provided to achieve the corrected time.

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 3, Sun et al., as modified, disclose the claimed invention since the time correction is performed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/04/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate the generally limitations of the now canceled claims 4 and 5 and argues that the cited references do not show a device which tests two frequencies serially upon failure of the first frequency to update a microprocessor clock. Examiner disagrees. Applicant has not claimed, nor has examiner considered, a device which tests two frequencies serially. Applicant merely claims a device having a first and a second time correction referencing to the turn on of the circuit enable a sufficient time period to obtain a first or second frequency. As stated in the above rejection, the Sun reference disclosed such a device.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2841

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tsp

Vit Miska Primary Examiner